ESD 112 – COWLITZ COUNTY SS/HS PROJECT READY! FOR KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM

Workshop Implementation Checklist

Q.1 Instructor Name:	Q-2. Date:	Q-3. Site: _		
Q-4. Workshop Type (select one) 1) Infants 4) Age 3-4 2) Age 1-2 5) Age 4-5 3) Age 2-3	Q-5. Workshop Conduction 1) Classroom 2) One-on-one	cted		
For each of the topics listed below, check "yes	" or "no" to indicate if you	completed the ta	ask.	
Workshop Preparation		Yes	No	
Q-6 . Attended READY! training/meetings				
Q-7. Prepared for class ahead of time				
Q-8. Collected Participant Enrollment forms (n	ew participants)			
Q-9. Collected Participant Surveys				
For each major objective, check "yes" or "no" t	o indicate if it was covered			٦.
Session Objectives		Yes	No	
Q-10. Shapes (letters, numbers, geometric for		\perp		
Q-11. Sounds (reading, speaking, music, rhym		\perp		
Q-12. Math concepts (counting, patterns, "mor		\perp		
Q-13. Social Skills (cooperation, attention spar	n, settling, etc).			
 Partially. I mostly followed the Powe curriculum, or I addressed all objecti Fully. I followed the PowerPoint outl objectives. Fully, with adaptations. I followed the information not covered in curriculur If additional materials/information were p 	ives, but not all curriculum ine and model curriculum e PowerPoint outline, and materials.	materials. and I addressed I provided supp	d all session lementary	
Q-15. Were parents referred to needed resour 1) Yes 2) No Q-16. Indicate the number of READY! worksho 1) 1 2) 2 3) 3 4) 4 5) 5 or more				
Q-17. On a scale from 1 (low) to 5 (high), rate	how well parents respond	ed to this session	on.	
Comments:	•			

ESD 112 – COWLITZ COUNTY SS/HS PROJECT READY! FOR KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM

Fidelity Observation Checklist

For each of the following items, select the option that most closely matches the instructor's level of implementation fidelity.

- **Q-1**. Instructor modeled in-class activities, when appropriate.
 - 1) No activities modeled.
 - Modeled some activities, but parents needed more models.
 - 3) Modeled in-class activities per curriculum
 - Modeled in-class activities per curriculum and provided more activities to meet parents' needs.
- **Q-2**. Instructor allowed sufficient time for participants to practice in-class activities.
 - 1) Provided no opportunities for practice.
 - 2) Provided practice time, but parents needed more opportunity for practice.
 - 3) Provided sufficient opportunity to meet parents' needs.
- **Q-3.** Instructor followed PowerPoint class outline and duration.
 - 1) Class outline and duration were not followed.
 - 2) Followed PowerPoint class outline, but duration/intensity of topic was not sufficient.
 - Followed PowerPoint class outline and topics were covered with sufficient duration/intensity.
- **Q-4.** Instructor covered targets specified for age group.
 - 1) Targets for age groups not covered.
 - 2) Targets partially covered, but parents needed more instruction.
 - 3) Followed curriculum, targets covered.
 - Followed curriculum, targets covered, and provided more opportunities to meet parents' needs.

- Q-5. Instructor covered research slide.
 - 1) Research slide not covered.
 - 2) Research slide partially covered, parents would have benefited from more instruction.
 - 3) Fully covered slide per model curriculum.
 - 4) Fully covered slide and provided more opportunities to meet parents' needs.
- **Q-6.** Instructor emphasizes reading 20 minutes per day.
 - 1) Reading not emphasized.
 - Reading emphasized, but did not stress importance of reading 20 minutes per day.
 - Followed curriculum and sufficiently emphasized importance of reading 20 minutes per day.
- Q-7. Instructor reviewed parent handouts.
 - 1) Handouts not reviewed.
 - 2) Handouts reviewed, but parents needed more explicit instructions.
 - 3) Followed curriculum and provided explicit instructions to meet parents' needs.
- Q-8. Instructor covered the concept, "play with a purpose."
 - 1) Concept not covered.
 - 2) Concept partially covered, and parents needed additional instruction.
 - 3) Concept covered per curriculum.
 - 4) Followed curriculum and provided opportunities to meet parents' needs.
- **Q-9.** Parents were engaged in the lesson during instructor-led instruction.
 - 1) Engaged less than 25% of the time.
 - 2) Engaged 26-50% of the time
 - 3) Engaged 51-75% of the time
 - 4) Engaged more than 75% of the time.

Comments:	 	 	

PARENTING AN ATHLETE – Facilitator Checklist Packet Pre-Program Information

Lo	cation of Program:	Your Name:			
Th	This implementation checklist packet has been created to capture how much of the program was delivered in each session. This helps the evaluators measure program "fidelity". These checklists are <u>not</u> a measure of your effectiveness, and will not be used to judge your skill or competence in delivering the program.				
Fa	There are three parts to the checklist packet: (1) this front page, to be completed prior to when the program starts; (2) Facilitator Checklists to be completed immediately after each session is delivered; and (3) a Program Implementation Wrapup to be completed shortly after all five sessions are delivered.				
no im	<u>Tips:</u> Always complete the Facilitator Checklists right after each session. There are occasions when an entire session may not be completed, when a program component was modified to better fit the context, or when there is time left over to implement an activity that is not part of the curriculum. When these situations arise, please explain them as thoroughly as possible.				
Plε	ase complete the following questions:				
1.	What is the highest educational degree that you have obtained	d?			
2.	How many years have you been a prevention specialist/educa	tor?			
3.	Do you anticipate making any additions, deletions, or modifications when delivering the program? Yes No				
4.	. If "yes", what are they and why?				
Anticipated Additions (write in) Reason for Anticipated Addition(s) (write in)					
	Anticipated Deletions (write in)	Reason for Anticipated Deletion(s) (write in)			
	Anticipated Modifications (write in)	Reason for Anticipated Modification(s) (write in)			

Session 1: Building the Resilient Athlete					
Location of Program: Your Name	::		Date:	//	
Length of Session:hours minutes Number of	Participants:				
1. Please indicate how much you covered each session objective	listed below.				
Session 1 Objectives	← Not Cov	vered		Completely C	Covered 👈
Parents able to identify resiliency factors and understand how sports					
and physical fitness can assist a child in experiencing and	1	2	3	4	5
understanding their own resiliency.					
Parents able to appreciate, in a more tangible sense, their role as			3		5
teachers and role models to their children.	<u></u> □1	2	3	4	<u></u> 5
2. Please indicate how much you covered each session topic/act	ivity listed belo	ow.			
Session 1 Agenda	← Not Cov			Completely C	Covered -
Welcome and Introduction to the Program	1	2	3	4	5
Benefits of Athletics	1	2	3	4	5
Resiliency and Sports	1	2	3	4	5
Parental Roles	1		3	4	5
Athletic Careers and Recruiting					
Talking to Your Child About Sports			3		
The "Goose Story"					
Session 1 Activities	← Not Cov	rered		Completely C	Covered -
"Why Participate in Sports?" – Child Graphic			3		
"What is Your Role as a Parent?" - Child/Parent Graphic					
"Building Self-Esteem and Resiliency"					
Introduction to "Talking With Your Child About Sports"					
			,		
3. How well do you think the participants responded to this sessi	on?				
Session Ratings	← Not At A	All		Very/Con	npletely >
How well do you think participants responded to this session?	1	2	3	4	5
How engaged were the participants?	1	2	3	4	5
How confident are you that this session will be effective?	1		3		
How suitable do you think this less was for the participants?	1		3		
4. Please describe how, if at all, you made <u>changes</u> to this session Additions (write in)		you did not r Addition(s)		nges leave th	nis blank.
Deletions (write in)	Reason for	Deletion(s)	(write in)		
		, ,			
Modifications (write in)	Reason for	Modification	(s) (write in)		
,			.,,		

PARENTING AN ATHLETE – Facilitator Checklist

5. Write any other comments you have about this session here (use the back if you need more room).

PARENTING AN ATHLETE – Facilitator Checklist Packet

Program Implementation Wrap-Up

There are many reasons why modifications/adaptations, additions, and/or deletions may have been made during program implementation.

1.		oking back on the implementation of the program, which of the following statements best describes the manner in ich the program was implemented? (please check <u>one</u>): No substantial changes were made to the program (you are done – thank you).
	П	A few changes were made to the program (please answer #2 and #3).
		A number of changes were made to the program (please answer #2 and #3).
2.	Ho	w would you characterize the nature of the changes (check all that apply): Content of the sessions
3.		e'd like you to look back on the implementation of the program for this specific group and consider how each of the lowing issues may or may not have affected your program's implementation (write-in where appropriate).
	a)	Recipient issues (e.g. cultural norms, demographics, etc.)
	b)	Program provider issues (e.g. staff recruitment/retention issues, costs, etc.)
	c)	Community issues (e.g. political climate, traumatic incident, community norms, etc.)
	d)	Setting issues (e.g. policies, scheduling, facilities, etc.)
	e)	Sustainability issues (e.g. potential funding leverage, community buy-in, etc.)
	f)	Training issues (e.g., the training you received did not adequately prepare you for the realities you encountered when delivering the curriculum)

PARENTING AN ATHLETE Program Manager Observation Packet

Purpose of this Observation Packet

This Program Manager Observation Packet has been created to capture information on how much of the program was delivered, on average, by the program facilitators. This helps the evaluators measure program "fidelity".

Content of the Observation Packet

Each observation checklist is designed to collect information on

- 1. The extent to which the facilitator covered the session **objectives**.
- 2. The extent to which the facilitator covered each **topic/activity** in the session.
- 3. The degree to which you feel that participants were **responsive and engaged** with the session.
- 4. The degree to which you feel the facilitator was **knowledgeable and prepared** to deliver the session.
- 5. How, if at all, you feel that the facilitator changed the session (e.g., additions, deletions, modifications).
- 6. Any additional comments you have about the session (e.g., manner in which it was delivered).

Frequency of Observations

Ideally, observations should be conducted at least once for each facilitator and for at least one of each session during a program cycle. In other words, if you have four facilitators delivering the program, you should make an effort to make an unannounced visit to each facilitator at least one time during the program cycle. Similarly, if the program is being delivered four times during the Spring cycle, you should make an effort to attend at least one of each session during the program cycle (i.e., sessions 1-5).

The main idea is to observe a representative sample of sessions and facilitators to be able to make generalizations to the entire program delivery. To get an accurate picture of how the program is being delivered in the field, you want to get an idea of the abilities of each of your facilitators (to identify any areas of improvement), and an idea of how each session is being delivered (to identify potential changes to individual sessions such as pacing, content, etc.).

You may need to make multiple copies of the sheets in this packet if you intend to visit the same session more than one time during a given program cycle.

<u>Tips</u>: It is best to complete this form as soon as possible following the delivery of each session. For questions #1 and #2 about the objectives and topics/activities covered, it might make sense to complete these items during the session as the facilitator covers them.

Location of Program:	Facilitator Name:		D	ate:/_	/
Length of Session:hours minutes	Number of Participants: _				
Please indicate how much the facilitator covered 6	each session objective lister	d below.			
Session 1 Objectives	← Not Cove			Completely (Covered •
Parents able to identify resiliency factors and understan	d how sports				
and physical fitness can assist a child in experiencing an	nd \square_1	2	3	4	5
understanding their own resiliency.					
Parents able to appreciate, in a more tangible sense, t teachers and role models to their children.	heir role as	2	3	4	5
2. Please indicate how much the facilitator covered of					2
Session 1 Agenda	◆ Not Cove			Completely (Covered
Welcome and Introduction to the Program Benefits of Athletics	<u>∐</u> 1	2	3	<u></u> 4	5
Resiliency and Sports	<u></u> 1	2 	3	<u>4</u>	5
Parental Roles	<u> </u>		3	<u>4</u>	5
Athletic Careers and Recruiting			3 3	4 	5
Talking to Your Child About Sports			3		
The "Goose Story"			3		
Session 1 Activities	← Not Cove	ered	3	Completely (Covered -
"Why Participate in Sports?" – Child Graphic			3		
"What is Your Role as a Parent?" – Child/Parent Grap	hic \square_1		3		
"Building Self-Esteem and Resiliency"			3		
Introduction to "Talking With Your Child About Sports"			3	4	
3. How well do you think the participants responded					
Session Ratings	← Not At A			Very/Cor	mpletely
How well do you think participants responded to this se	ession?	2	3	4	5
How engaged were the participants?	<u> </u>	2	3	4	5
How confident are you that this session will be effective How suitable do you think this less was for the particip		2	3	<u></u> 4	5
How suitable do you think this less was for the particip	dillS?	2	3	4	5
 How would you rate the facilitator along the follow Facilitator Ratings 	ving dimensions? ← Not At A			Vorv/Cor	mpletely :
How knowledgeable do you feel the facilitator was of the			3		
How enthusiastic do you feel the facilitator was?			3		
How well prepared do you feel the facilitator was?			3		
How well did the facilitator meet the theoretical ideal for	this session?				
5. Please describe how, if at all, you feel that the facilitations (write in)		this session	n.	4	
Deletions (write in)					
Modifications (write in)					
(

Second Step Lesson Observation Form

This form is for use by trainers or administrators when observing lesson presentations. Lines printed in bold are general statements followed by examples of how teachers might demonstrate that teaching strategy.

Unit	Lesson Number			
Lesson Title	Date			
Teacher	Grade			×
		Evid	ient	ident led Not Evident Not Observed
	Group Discussion	Clearly Evid Or Observ	gent ged Partially Ev Or Observ	ed Not Evident Not Observed
	rest with good pacing and personalized examples:			
	fortable and grade-appropriate listening pace ear reading voice			
	examples or anecdotes			
•	tions being raised without getting bogged down			Ğ
Followed lesson	outline completely and sequentially:			
•	ncepts clearly and used terms correctly			
	son themes and objectives			
	mprehension and corrected students who			
were confused	ata ta akudant auranianaa		_	_
	ots to student experience on theme at end of session			
- Neviewed tesse	The the de cha of session	_	_	_
Used empathic a	and nonjudgmental responses with students:			
• Used nonjudgn rather than "Go	nental responses ("That's one idea. What's another?" ood idea.")			
 Responded employed (listened, node) 	pathically to student-related experience ded)			
• Demonstrated	active-listening skills (maintained eye contact, epeated students' words)			
Encouraged part	ticipation of all students:			
	room to include all students			
	to and/or skill-steps poster to all students			
• Called on a var			Ä	
	efore calling on someone vaited for all hands up)			
,	of discussion techniques (pair and share, small group)			

	Clearly Evil	gent Bed Partially Evi Or Observ	ident ed Krider Not Obser
Role-Play or Activity Guided student generation of behavioral-skills steps:	Clearly Evil	Partiobsel.	ed Not Evilo
Asked for steps			
 Asked for best sequence Asked leading questions or suggested steps when students were not forthcoming 			
Modeled skill steps or concept simply and accurately:			
 Model role-play clearly illustrated theme Emotional expression and voice tone was obvious and appropriate 			
Modeled positive self-reinforcement			
Facilitated student role-play or activity:			
Gave clear and focused instructionsProvided coaching and cueing during role-plays or activity			
Had students repeat role-play or activity to clarify key skills or concepts	Ğ	Ō	ā
Guided students in evaluating the role-plays:			
Referenced stepsPhrased questions to elicit specific, constructive, informative			
feedback	-	_	
 Phrased questions to elicit feedback on delivery quality (voice tone, eye contact) 			
Overall			
Facilitated transfer of learning:Targeted times, places, or situations when students might use skills	П	П	
When necessary, discussed times when it might not be appropriate or safe to use a skill	ā	ō	
Appropriately managed student behavior:			
 Cued appropriate behavior by citing positive rules Redirected inappropriate responses ("That's one idea. 			
What's another?")	_	_	_
 Used nondisruptive means to stop disruptive behaviors (nonverbal signals) 			
• If separation was necessary, placed student so that participation			
from a distance was still possible			

What the teacher did well:	
Suggestions and ideas for the teacher to strengthen the lesson:	